


INDEX MAP



5

SUMMARY

Name of the Sate	:	Meghalaya
Name of the District	:	South Garo Hills District
Name of the C&RD Block	:	Gasuapara
Name of the Villages	:	Doragre
Name of the Project	:	South Garo Hills – IWMP – IV
Total Geographical Area	:	533 На
Total Treatment Area	:	500 Ha
Total Project Cost	:	75 lakhs
Project Duration	:	5 Years
Project Implementing Agency	:	Soil & Water Conservation (Cash Crop) Division, Baghmara.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
CHAPTER II	BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA
CHAPTER III	PROJECT PLANNING & INSTITUTION BUILDING
CHAPTER IV	PROJECT ACTIVITIES
CHAPTER V	PROJECT PHASING & BUDGETING
	CAPACITY BUILDING
CHAPTER VII	EXPECTED OUTCOME
ANNEXURE I	MAPS
	I SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY DETAILS
ANNEXURE I	II COST ESTIMATES
ANNEXURE I	V MoA, SUB COMMITTEE DETAILS ETC



CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

1.1 Project Background:

The Dora Micro Watershed (IWMP - IV) Project is located in Gasuapara C&RD Block, South Garo Hills District of Meghalaya. Consisting of a single micro-watershed, the project area is drained by the Dora River and its tributaries flowing in a north to south direction. The total area is 533 Ha. with 500 ha. to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

The Project area is located at a distance of about 5 km from Gasuapara C&RD Block and about 46 km from Baghmara . A total of only one village is covered under the project. i.e -

Doragre

1.2 Micro-watershed Information:

The micro-watershed is yet to be codified by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The total area of the micro-watershed is 533 Ha., with 500 hectares to be treated under the Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP).

1.3 Need and Scope for Watershed Development:

The Dora micro-watershed falls under the High Priority category as per the prioritization of watersheds by the North East Space Application Centre (NESAC). The village do not have pucca or (all weathered road) connectivity. The farmers are all marginal and 38 households are below the poverty line, which is 100% of the total households. Jhum cultivation is practiced by most of the inhabitants in the village on the slopes. Even though the area receives ample rainfall during the monsoons, there is acute shortage of water during the dry seasons and the villagers have to

travel long distances for fetching water even for domestic use.

1.4 Other developmental projects/schemes running in the Project Area:

The other developmental projects/schemes undertaken in the Project Area are:-

i. MGNREGS

- ii. Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana(SGSY)
- iii. Backward Region Grand Fund (BRGF)

CHAPTER II

BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA

CHAPTER II BASIC INFORMATION OF THE PROJECT AREA

2.1 Location: The Project area is located within the area of Gasuapara under the Gasuapara C&RD Block, South Garo Hills District. It is situated at a distance of about 1 km from Gasuapara and 46 km from Baghmara. The geographical location is between $90^{0} 20' 04''$ to $90^{0} 22' 22.5''$ E Longitude and $25^{0} 11' 30''$ to $25^{0} 12' 56.5''$ N Latitude. There is only 1 village within the Watershed i.e Dorage. At present, this village are connected to kuccha road only.

2.2 Physiography:

The topography of the micro-watershed is highly undulating table land tracts with gentle to moderate slopes. The slopes vary from 10% to 20 % and 15% to 35%. The lower reaches of the Watershed is relatively flat and suitable for agriculture. The altitude ranges from 28m to 66m above mean sea level.

Table 2.1: Physiographic details

Elevation (metres)	Slope Range (%)	Order of watershed Sub/Micro-watershed	Major streams	Topography
28m to 66 m	10% to 15% and 15% to 35 %	Micro Watershed	Dora River	moderately Sloping

- **2.3 Drainage:** The major stream draining the micro-watershed is the Dora River which is a 4th order stream flowing in a north-south direction. The slopes of the micro-watershed are dissected by numerous small tributaries flowing to the Dora River.
- **2.4 Soil:** The soils are ranging from loamy skeletal to fine loamy types in texture with p^{H} value ranging from 4.6 to 6.1. Soil depth varies from very shallow to deep. Soils are permeable and generally acidic in nature. Owing to highly undulating land form and absence of good vegetation cover, the area is exposed to erosion hazards. The soil nutrient status in the area shows a general trend of low phosphorous content.

Table 2.2: Details of soil erosion in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Sl. No.	Names of State	Names of District	Names of Projects	Cause	Types of erosion	Area affected (ha)	Run-off (mm/ year)	Average soil loss (Tonnes/ ha/ year)
				Water e	erosion:			
		•	South	a	Sheet			
			Garo	b	Rill	500	2500-4000	10-15
1	Meghalaya			c	Gully			
		Hills		Sub total		500		
				Wind e	rosion	Nil	Nil	Nil

2.5 Climate: The area in the foothills or low lying areas and mid-slopes are hot in summer and remain warm throughout the winter. The area on the higher reaches is warm during summer and cold during winter. The average annual rainfall is 4000mm.

Table 2.3: Agro-climatic zones of the project areas, soil types, average rainfall and major crops.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7		8	9				
S1.	Name of	Name of the Agro-	Area (in	Names of	Names of	Major soil types		Average annual rainfall in mm	Major crops				
No.	State	climatic zone	ha)	the districts	the Projects	a) Type	b) Area (ha)	(preceding 5 years' average)	a) Name	b) Area (ha)			
									Arecanut	17			
						Moderate shallow, excessively			Rice (Lowlan Rice)	15			
									Rice (Jhum)	13			
									Chilli	12.6			
			533 Ha						drained, fine – loamy soil on moderately steep side slopes of hills			Ginger	12.6
		Southern Slopes and 533 H		South Com	South Garo	having loamy surfaces with severe erosion hazard and strong stoniness associated with moderately	533 Ha	4000 mm	Brinjal	12.6			
1	Meghalaya			South Garo Hills) Hills – IWMP – IV				Colocassia	12.6			
		Valley							Tapioca	12.6			
									Maize	12.6			
						erosion hazard and slight stoniness			Pumpkin	12.6			
									Cowpea	12.6			
									Turmeric	12.6			
									Cucumber	12.6			
								Total		139 Ha			

2.6 Agriculture: Agriculture is the primary occupation of the people of the area. The people of Dora Watershed practice jhum. The jhum plots vary from 3.0 to 3.5 Ha, and are cultivated for 2-3 years. The principal agricultural crops grown of the jhum fields are Chilli, Ginger, Rice, Brinjal, Colocassia, Tapioca, Maize etc. Among the Fruit crops they grow only arecanut in the watershed area. The slopes of the Doragre are also very suitable for betel nut, betel leaf, rubber, black pepper, which contribute to the income of the people.

Crops	Area (ha)	Average Yield (Qtl) per ha.	Total Production (Qtl.)
Brinjal	12.6	20	252
Rice	27.6	15	414
Turmeric	12.6	30	378
Colocassia	12.6	35	441
Ginger	12.6	25	315
Tapioca	12.6	30	378
Arecanut	17	12	204
Maize	12.6	6	75.6
Chilli	12.6	4	50.4
Cow pea	12.6	15	189
Pumpkin	12.6	50	630
Cucumber	12.6	35	441
Total	170.6	277	3768

Table 2.4: Crop yield and production

- 2.7 Natural Vegetation: The tree species common to the watershed area includes *Tectona grandis*, *Gmelina_arborea*, *Jacrinda_mimosofolia*, *Bamboo* etc.
- **2.8 Socio-Economic Profile:** Economically, the area is perhaps the most backward in the district. The main reason is due to the absence of pucca road communication, primitive way of agricultural practices like jhumming and the difficult terrain of the area.

Demographic Status: The total households in the watershed project is 38 with a total population of 209 of which 102are male and 107are female. The detail of the household in each of the villages in the watershed project is as follows:

Village	No. of Households	No. of Male	No. of Female	Total	Average No. per family
1. Doragre	38	102	107	209	5
Total:-	38	102	107	209	5

Infrastructure facilities :

- 2.1.1 *Roads:* The village within the Project Area is not connected by all weathered pucca road. The Project area depends entirely on the kutcha road connected either to Gasuapara and to Baghmara.
- 2.1.2 School: There is only 1 L.P School and within the Project Area run by the Government.
- 2.1.3 *Electricity* : Connections have been provided to this village.
- 2.1.4 *Health* : There is no Community Health Centre in both the villages and the local population have to either depends on facilities available at Gasuapara or at Baghmara.
- 2.1.5 *Water Supply* : No Drinking water supply system has been provided by the PHE Deptt., the entire population have to depend on springs available in the area to meet the daily requirement.
- 2.1.6 *Market* : There is a weekly market held once in a week at Gasuapara . However, the main market where the people sell their produce is at Gasuapara or at Baghmara.

Table 2.5: Infrastructure Status.

1	2		3 4						
Name of District	Name of Project		Parameters:	Status					
South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills- IWMP IV	(i)	No. of villages connected to the main road by an all-weather road.	This village motorable ju		walking f	from		
		(ii)	No. of village provided with electricity	1					
		(iii)	No. of households without access to drinking water	38					
	(iv) No. of educational institutions:			(P)	(S)	(HS)	(VI)		
			Primary (P)/ Secondary (S)/ Higher Secondary (HS)/ Vocational institution (VI)	1		-	-		
		(v)	No. of village with access to Primary Health Centre	Nil					
		(vi)	No. of village with access Veterinary Dispensary	Nil					
		(vii)	No. of village with access Post Office	Nil					
		(viii)	No. of village with access Banks	Nil 1					
		(ix)	No. of village with access Markets/ mandis						
		(x)	No. of village with access Agro-Industries	Nil					
		(xi)	Total quantity of surplus milk	Nil					
		(xii) No. of milk collection centres (e.g. Union (U)/ Society (S)/ Private agency (PA)/ Others (O))		(U)	(S)	(PA)	(0)		
				Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil		
		(xiii)	No. of villages with access to Aganwadi Centres	1 No.		•			
		(xiv)	Any other facilities with no. of villages (please specify)	Nil					

2.9 Livestock: there are only 4 kinds of livestock farming being farmed in the area viz. Piggery, Poultry.

Type of Animal	Population
Piggery	100
Poultry	522
Cattle	238
Goat	37
	897

Table 2.6: Existing livestock population

2.10 Land ownership: There are only two types of land holding system, namely private lands and community lands.

2.11 Table 2.7: Land Holding:

1	2	3	4	5		6	
Name of	Types of Farmer		No. of BPL	Land holding (ha)			
District	Project	51	households	households	Irrigated	Rainfed	Total
		(i) Large	-	-			
South	South Garo	(ii) Small	-	-			
Garo	Hills –	(iii) Marginal	38	38	-	170.6 Ha	170.6 Ha
Hills	IWMP IV	(iv) Landless	-	-	-	-	-
		Sub - Total	38	38		170.6 Ha	170.6 Ha

Table 2.5:	Common	Property	Resources in	the Project Area
	Common	- i operej	resources m	

1	2	3		2	1				5	
Name of	Name of the	CPR		Total A Area owned/ In	on of	Area available for treatment (ha)				
District	Projects	Particulars	Pvt. Person	Govt. (specify deptt.)	PRI	Any other (Community)	Pvt. Person	Govt. (specify deptt.)	PRI	Any other (Community)
South Garo	South Garo Hills –	(i) Wasteland/ degraded land	-	-			-	-	-	-
Hills	IWMP IV	(ii) Pastures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		(iii) Private Agriculture land	15 Ha	-	-	-	15 Ha.			
		(iv) Village woodlot	-	-	-	-				
		(v) Forest	-	-	-	172 Ha				165Ha.
		(vi) Village Ponds/ Tanks	-	-	-	2 Nos.				
		(vii) Community Buildings	-		-	2 Nos.		MREGS		
		(viii) Weekly Markets	-	-	-	Gasuapara				
		(ix) Permanent Markets	-	-	-	Gasuapara				
		(x) Temples/ Places of worship	-	-	-	Church- 1 no				
		(xi) Others (Pl. specify)		-	-	337 Ha	-			320
		Total	15 Ha	-	-	509 Ha	15 Ha.	-	-	485 Ha

2.12 Land use and land cover : As per the land use land cover map generated by NESAC, Meghalaya from Satellite Image taken during 2005 – 2006 (LISS – III, Image) the Watershed area has been broadly classified into the following land uses.

a) Built-up Area	=	09.00 Ha
b) Agricultural land-crop land-kharif crop	=	15.00 Ha
c) Tree clad Area-close	=	42.00 Ha
d) Tree clad Area-open	=	56.00 Ha
e) Forest Decidious Open	=	19.00 Ha.
f) Forest Decidious Closed	=	55.00 Ha.
g) Other Shifting Cultivation Current	=	139.00 Ha.
h) Other Shifting Cultivation Abandoned	=	181.00 Ha.
i) Arecanut	=	<u>17.00 Ha.</u>
Tota	ıl =	533.00 Ha

2.13 Problems of the Area : The primary problems of the area is Jhumming. Majority of the population depends on Jhum Cultivation for their livelihood. Vast tracks of abandoned Jhum areas are converted to vegetable and seasonal crops cultivation areas which has further degraded the capability of the land. In other words, unscientific method of cultivation has not only reduced the Jhum cycle, low crop yield but had adversely affected the ecological balance within the area. Road communication is another infrastructural problems that the area is facing where large volume crops like arecanut, jackfruits etc. do not find their way into the market which has resulted in poor socio-economic status of the people. However, to control or to overcome the said problems an innovative approach has been formulated and documented in the Action Plan or the Treatment Plan the Detailed Project Report. The method of identification of the problems is through the Participatory Rural Appraisal Exercises conducted in all the villages within the Watershed.

CHAPTER III

PROJECT PLANNING & INSTITUTION BUILDING

CHAPTER III

PROJECT PLANNING & INSTITUTION BUILDING

3.1 Scientific Planning

- i) <u>Base Line Survey</u>: To establish a benchmark for assessing the impact of any intervention (pre-project & post project) a baseline survey is essential. The baseline survey included household census & socio-economic survey by using structured and semi –structured questionnaires, bio-physical survey to identify and assess the status of natural resources in the project area.
- ii) <u>Participatory Rural Appraisal</u>: To further obtain information on the project area, the people, resources, various PRA techniques like resource mapping, social mapping, seasonal calendars, matrix ranking, Venn diagrams were used.
- iii) <u>GIS & Remote Sensing</u>: To facilitate the process of prioritization and planning Geographic Information System was use. The land use and land cover (LULC) maps were prepared by the North Eastern Space Application Centre (NESAC) using the LISS III images (2006). The activities were located on the field by using GPS and accordingly transferred to the maps on GIS platform.

1	2	2
Sl.No.	Scientific criteria/ inputs used	No. of projects in which scientific criteria were used
А.	Planning	
	Cluster approach	Yes,
	Whether technical back-stopping for the project has been arranged? If yes, mention the	Yes,
	name of the Institute.	NESAC, Nongsder
	Baseline survey	No
	Hydro-geological survey	No
	Contour mapping	No
	Participatory Net Planning (PNP)	Yes

Table 3.1: Details of Scientific Planning and Inputs in IWMP projects:

	Remote sensing data-especially soil/ crop/ run-off cover	Yes
	Ridge to Valley treatment	Yes
	Online IT connectivity between	
	(1) Project and DRDA cell/ZP	Yes
	(2) DRDA and SLNA	Yes
	(3) SLNA and DoLR	Yes
	Availability of GIS layers	
	1. Cadastral map	No
	2. Village boundaries	Yes
	3. Drainage	Yes
	4. Soil (Soil nutrient status)	Yes
	5. Land use	Yes
	6. Ground water status	No
	7. Watershed boundaries	Yes
	8. Activity	Yes
	Crop simulation models [#]	No
	Integrated coupled analyzer/ near infrared visible spectroscopy/ medium spectroscopy	No
	for high speed soil nutrient analysis	INO
	Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI)#	Yes
	Weather Stations	No
В.	Inputs	
	1. Bio-pesticides	No
	2. Organic manures	Yes
	3. Vermi-compost	Yes
	4. Bio-fertilizer	Yes
	5. Water saving devices	Yes
	6. Mechanized tools/ implements	No
	7. Bio-fencing	Yes
	8. Nutrient budgeting	Yes
	9. Automatic water level recorders & sediment samplers	No
	Any other (please specify)	-

3.2 Project Implementing Agency:

The PIA is the Soil & Water Conservation (C.C) Division, Baghmara District of Meghalaya. The Project Manager will be the Divisional Soil and Water Conservation Officer and will be assisted by an Asst. Soil & Water Conservation Officer along with WDT members in which expertise is drawn from the relevant fields for achieving smooth and successful implementation of the project.

1	2			3
Names of Districts	Names of projects			Details of PIA
		(i)	Type of organization#	Government
		(ii)	Name of organization	Soil & Water Conservation (C.C) Division,
				Baghmara
South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills–	(iii)	Designation & Address	Divisional Soil & Water Conservation Officer,
South Galo This	IWMP IV			(C.C) Division Baghmara
		(iv)	Telephone	03639-222139
		(v)	Fax	03639-222139
		(vi)	E-mail	Baghmarasoil@gmail.com

3.3 Institution Building

i) Watershed Committee (WC)

The Watershed Committee of the Doragre, IWMP IV was constituted with the active involvement of the villagers with strong support of the Traditional Institutions (Village Nokma/Council). The Dora Micro Watershed Committee is yet to be registered under the Society Registration Act 1860.

Table 3.2: Details of Watershed Committees (WC):

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Names of the Districts	Names of projects	Names of WCs	Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)	Designa tion	M/F	SC	ST	SF	MF	LF	Land-less	UG	SHG	GP	Any other	Educa- tional ualify- cation	Function/s assigned#
				Chairman	М	-	ST		1							Cl – X	A to I
South	South Garo	Dora		Secretary	М	-	ST								1	P.U	A to I
Garo Hills	Hills	Micro	Yet to be	Member	4 M	-	ST		4							Cl – VI	Do
District	District – IWMP – IV	(IWMP- IV)	registered	Member	3 F	-	ST		4							to Cl – Cl X	Do
				Member													

- A. PNP and PRA
- C. Maintenance of Accounts
- E. Supervision of construction activities
- G. Verification & Measurement
- I. Social Audit

B. Planning

J.

- D. Signing of cheques and making payments
- F. Cost Estimation
- H. Record of labour employed
 - Any other (please specify).

ii) Self Help Group

Awareness programmes were organized in the villages to inform and sensitize the people on the essence of organizing themselves in to homogenous groups for uplifting their livelihood especially for the women. Discussions were held at length with the WDT on the scope and procedure of group formation, availing credit, grading of the groups and so on.

1	2		3				4				5		6		
Names	Nomas of		l no. of reg	istered S	SHGs	No. o	of mer	nbers				C/ST in egory			PL in egory
of the Districts	Names of projects	With only Men	With only Women	With both	Total	Categories	М	F	Total	М	F	Total	М	F	Total
South	SCH					(i) Landless									
South Garo	SGH. IWMP					(ii) SF									
Hills	IWNIF	-	-	-	-	(iii) MF	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
11115	1 V					(iv) LF									

Table 3.3: Details of Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

iii) User Group

To manage the assets created and ensure their sustainability User Groups will be formed. The people have been sensitized on the importance of ensuring that the assets created are sustainably used and the essentiality of having User Groups for maintenance and operation of their assets.

1	2			3			4				5		6		
Names of	Names of		Total	no. of Ugs		No.	of mei	nbers			SC/S' catego	T in each ory		of BPL i categor	
Districts	Projects	Men	Wom en	Both	Total	Categories	М	F	Total	М	F	Total	М	F	Total
	South					(i)Landless									
South	Garo					(ii) SF									
Garo Hills	Hills- IWMP					(iii) MF									
	-IV					(iv) LF									
Total		Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil				Nil			Nil			Nil

Table 3.4: User Group Details

CHAPTER IV PROJECT ACTIVITIES

CHAPTER IV PROJECT ACTIVITIES

4.1 Preparatory Phase:

1

S1.

No.

1

i) Entry Point Activities (EPA)

(Financial – Rs. in lakh) 3 5 7 8 10 11 2 9 4 6 Amount Entry Point Names of Estimated Expenditure Balanc Expected Actual State District earmarked Project Activities planned incurred outcome cost outcome e for EPA Safe Safe Drinking South Garo Megha South Garo Spring Chamber Drinking Hills – 3.00 3.00 3.00 Nil Water to the with Tank Water to the Hills laya IWMP IV Community Community

24

ii) Other activities of Preparatory Phase:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
District	Name of Projects	Initiation of village level institution	Capacity building	IEC activi ties	Baseline survey	Hydro - geolog ical survey	Identifyin g technical support agencies	Resour ce agree- ments	Preparat ion of DPR	Evaluatio n of DPR	Any other (please specify)	Cost incurred (Rs. In lakh)
South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills – IWMP IV	1 no. W/C and 1 no. of watershed association	3 nos.	2 nos.	Participatory Rural Appraisals	N.A	Done	Done	Done	Done	-	1.5

4.2 Watershed Works Phase:

4.2.1 Activities related to surface water resources in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5		6								7						
-						Pre Pro	ject						Pr	oposed Project						
							~	Au	gmentation str	/ repair of e uctures	existing			n of new structure	s		Tota	al target		
Sl · N o	Name of States	Name of Distri cts	Name of Project s	Type of structures	N o	Area irriga ted (ha)	Storage capacit y	No	Area to be treated (ha)	Storage capacit y	Estimat ed cost (in lakhs)	No	Area to be treated (ha)	Storage capacity (per unit)	Estimate d cost (in lakhs)	No	Area to be treate d (ha)	Storage capacit y (m ³)	Estima ted cost	
1				(i) Tank	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
				(ii) Pond	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 Nos	40	1038 m ³	6.0	8 nos.	40	8304	6.0	
				(iii) Lake	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	
		South	Garo	South Garo Hills –	(iv) Check Dam	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 Nos	64	1400 m³	9.60	8 nos.	64 Ha	10400 m ³	9.60
		G . 4		(v) Percolation Tank	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Megha laya	South Garo Hills	IV	(vi) Diversion Channel	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	450 Rm	7.5	-	1.125	450 Rm	7.5	-	1.125	
				(vii) Any others (please specify)																
				Protection wall	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 Nos	32	-	4.80	5 Nos	32		4.80	
				Water Harvesting structure	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8 Nos	40	1236 m ³	6.0	8 Nos	40	9888m³	6.0	
			Total					-	-	-	-	29 Nos & 450 Rm	183.5 Ha	3674 m ³	26.525	29 nos. & 450 Rm	183.5 Ha	28592 m ³	26.525	

						8					9	10
				Ach	nievement	due to proj	ect					
Augn		repair of actures	existing	Co	nstruction	of new stru	ictures	To	tal achievem	nent	Change in storage capacity (col 8-6)	Change in irrigated area (ha) Col. (8- 6)
No	Area irrigate d (ha)	Storage capacity	Expenditu re incurred (in lakhs)	No	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity	Expenditur e incurred (in lakhs)	Area irrigated (ha)	Storage capacity	Estimated incurred		-
-	-	-	-	8 nos.	40	8304	6.0	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	8 nos.	64 Ha	10400 m ³	9.60	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
				450Rm	7.5	-	1.125					
				5 Nos 32 4.80								
				8 Nos	40	9888m³	6.0					
				29 nos.& 450 Rm	183.5 Ha	28592 m ³	26.525					
				8 nos.	40	8304	6.0					

4.2.2 Activities related to recharging ground water resources in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5		6		7									8				9		
					Pre	-project				Propo	osed targ	et					Achi	eveme	nt due to	project			
S. No.	es of States	of Districts	s of projects	Type of structures	No.	Area irrigated	exist	entation/ 1 ing recha structures	rging		struction arging st		Total	target		entation/ re sting rechar, structures			struction o arging stru		Total ach	ievement	Change in irrigated area (Col. 8-
	Names	Names	Names			(ha)	No	Area to be irrigated (ha)	Estimat	No.	Area to be irrigate d (ha)	Estimate d cost	Area to be irrigated (ha)	Estimate d cost	No.	irrigated	Expen di-ture incurre d	No	Area irri- gated (ha)	Expen di-ture incurre d	Area irri- gated (ha)	Expendi -ture incurred	. ,
				(i)Open wells																			
		fills	- slli	(ii)Bore wells							Nil												
	Meghlaya	South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills IWMP-IV	(iii)Any others(Pl. specify)1 Dug Out Pond2 Water Harvesting		Nil		Nil		8 8		6.0 6.0	Nil			Nil			Nil		Nil		
				Total for the project																			

4.2.3 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas.

	2				3			
		Ma	jor activities o	of the UGs –	Fargets			
Names of	Names of		Structure/ ac	tivity propos	ed	No. of UGs	Estimate	Amount of WDF
Districts	Projects	Sl. No.TypeNo.#Treating (has the second sec				involved	d Cost	to be collected (Rs.)
South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills – IWMP IV							

4.2.4 Activities executed by User Groups in the Project Areas:

	4														
	Major activities of the UGs – Achievements														
	Structure	/ activity		No. of UGs	Expenditure	No. of	manda	Amount of WDF							
Sl. No.	Туре	No.#	Treated Area (ha.)		incurred (Rs.)	SC	ST	F	collected (Rs.)						

4.2.5 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

1	2	3										
		Major activities of the SHGs										
Names of the Districts	Names of projects	Name of activity	No. of SHGs	Average annual income								
		Name of activity	involved	from activity per SHG								
		1.Piggery	7	2.10								
	South Garo Hills –	2.Poultry	7	2.10								
South Garo Hills	IWMP IV	3.Weaving	6	1.80								
		4. Betel nut Processing	4	2.0								
		5. Duckery	7	1.75								

4.2.6 Activities related to livelihoods by Self Help Groups (SHGs) in the project areas:

4		-	5		6	7	8			9	10
No. of	Total as	sistance re (Amoun	ceived by t t in Rs.)	he SHG	Total annual	Total			SHGs d as	Total Amount of	No. of
SHGs given training	Loan from revolving fund	Training	Material	Others (pl. specify)	Income generated (Rs.)	annual Savings (Rs.)	Ι	Π	III	loan sanctioned by the bank(s)	No. of SHGs federated

4.2.7 Other activities of watershed works phase:

1	2		3	4		5		6		7		8	5	9		1	0	11		1	2	13
District	nes of projects			Drainage line treatment		Nursery raising		developmendem		demor tior	Crop monstra tions		Pasture levelopment		Veterinary services		Fishery development		onal y	Any other (please specify)		Total cost incurred (Rs. In lakhs)
	Names	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	(a)	(b)	
SGH	SGH IWMP -IV	75 Ha	6.975 lakh	183.5 Ha	27.525 lakh	47,985 Nos	3.0 lakh	-	-	-	-	-	-	51 units	835	12 Units	-	-	-	76 Units	-	54.75 lakh

4.2.8 Details of engineering structures in watershed works:

1	2	3		4			5		6				7			8							
	Project		Type of treatment			Type of land			Executing agency	Target					Achievement								
District		Name of structures	(i) Ridge area (R)	(ii) Drainage line (D)	(iii) Land Dev. (L)	(i) Pri- vate	(ii) Com- munity	(iii) Others (pl. specify)	(i) UG (ii)SHG (iii) Others (pl specify)	(No /	Estimated cost (Rs. in lakh)		Rs. mo y	xpected onth & ear of npletion m/yyyy)	units (No./ cu.m./		Expenditure incurred (Rs. in lakh) M W O T			Status of comple-tion	Actual month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)		
		Staggered trenching																					
	South Garo	Loose boulder Contour bund Graded bunding																					
	Hills- IWMP -IV	Protection wall			L	Р	С		Beneficiary	5	1.92	2.88	4	.8 20	12-13								
		Earthern checks dams																					
South		Masonary stop Dams																					
Garo		Gully plug																					
Hills		Gabion structures																					
		Underground dykes																					
		Field bunds																					
		Any others (pl. specify)																					
		1.CC Check Dam	R	D		Р	С		UG Beneficiary	8 No.s	3.84	5.76	9	.6 20	12-13	8 No.s	3.84	5.7 6		9.6	2012-13	2012-13	
		2.Water Harvesting								8 No.s	2.4	3.6	6	.0 20	12-13	8 No.s	2.4	3.6		6.0	2012-13	2012-13	

Contd.

							9												
	Outcomes																		
	Area treated#	Water le	evel (m)		Production		Income (Rs.)		М	andays g	enerated			No. of beneficiaries					
Reduction in			. ,	(quintal)		. , ,				0.1					Othoma				
run off (cu.m)	(ha)	Pre- project	Post project	Pre- project	Post project	Pre- project	Post project	SC	ST	Others (Men)	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others (Men)	Women	Total		
2000	126	1.5	1.3	420	588	6.3	8.82	-	Yes	8612	5741	14353	-	Yes	102	107	209		

4.2.9 Details of engineering structures in watershed works.

4.2.10 Details of activities connected with vegetative cover in watershed works:

1	2	3		4		5 6 7					8						
			Туре	e of treat	ment	T	ype of	land	Executing agency]	Target				Achievemen	t
District	Proje ct	Name of structure/ work	(i) Ridge area (R)	(ii) Draina ge line (D)	(iii) Land dev. (L)	(i) Priv ate	1 om	S (DL)	(i) UG (ii)SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)	Area (ha)	No. of plants	Estimat ed cost (Rs. in lakh)	Expecte d month & year of comple- tion (mm/ yyyy)	Area (ha)	No. of plant s	Expendi- ture incurred (Rs. in lakh)	Actual month & year of comple-tion (mm/ yyyy)
		Afforestation	\checkmark				\checkmark		UG & SHG	35	17,500	62.44	2013-14	-	-	-	-
		Regeneration												-	-	-	-
	South	Agro-forestry												-	-	-	-
	Garo	Fuel wood															
South Garo	Hills	Fodder															
Hills	IWM P-IV	Agro- Horticulture												I	-	-	-
	r -1 v	Pasture dev.															
		Nursery raising				\checkmark				35 Ha	47,985	3.0	2013-14				
		Others (Coffee)												-	-	-	-

in case two or more activities are executed over same area, the figures in area treated should be accounted only once and should reflect only the actual watershed area treated.

4.2.11 Details of vegetative structures in watershed works: Phase – II (contd.):

							9							
							Outcon	nes						
Reduction in	Produ	ction	Inco	ome			Mandays g	enerated			1	No. of bene	eficiaries	
run off	(quir	ntal)	(R	s.)		S								
(cu.m)	Pre- project	Post project	Pre- project	Post project	SC	T	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total

4.2.12 Details of allied / other activities:

1	2	3		4		5		6		7
				Type of	land	Executing agency		Target	Achie	evement
District	Project	Name of activity@	(i) Priv ate	(ii) Commu nity	(iii) Others (landless)	(i) UG (ii)SHG (iii) Others (pl. specify)	Estima ted cost (Rs. in lakh)	Expected month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)	Expendi- ture incurred (Rs. in lakh)	Actual month & year of completion (mm/yyyy)
		Carpentry			7 units	Individual	0.35	2013-14		
		Bee keeping(Apiculture)			10 units	Individual	0.80	2013-14		
		Poultry			22 units	Individual	3.3	2013-14		
		Pisciculture			12 units	Individual	1.20	2013-14		
	South	Piggery Farming			22 units	Individual/SHGs	3.3	2013-14		
South	Garo	Compos-pit			6 units	Individual	0.15	2013-14		
Garo	Hills-	Kitchen gardening			18 units	Individual	0.45	2013-14		
Hills	IWMP	Tailoring			10 units	Individual	0.80	2013-14		
	IV	Agricultural implements			7 units	Individual	0.35	2013-14		
		Weaving			14 units	Individual/SHGs	2.80	2013-14		
		Duckery			7 units	SHGs	1.75	2013-14		
		Betel nut Processing			4 units	SHGs	2.0	2013-14		

(Contd.)

* from column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of Projects; from column no. 5, activity-wise totals, from column no. 6, type-wise totals, from column no. 7, agency-wise totals, from column no. 8, total estimated cost, from column no. 9, total expenditure incurred, structure-wise no. of completed works, from column no. 10, item-wise totals, for the entire country may be indicated at the end of the table

@The activities given in this column are merely indicative and States are free to choose any other activity suited to the project area.

4.2.13 Details of allied / other activities:

	8													
	Outcomes													
	Income (Rs.)			1	Mandays ge	enerated		No. of beneficiaries						
	Pre-project	Post project	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total		
Nil		8.33		Yes	2988	1992	4980		Yes	102	107	209		

4.3 Consolidation and withdrawal phase

Details of activities in the CPRs in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5		6					7			
						Tar	get			A	chievemen	t		
Names of the	Names of projects	Name(s) of the villages	CPR particula rs		Target area under the activity	Estimated expenditure	Expected no. of beneficia-	Estimated contri- bution to	Area treated under the	Expenditu re	Actual no. of benefici-		lo. of indays	WDF collecte
	projects		15		(ha)	(Rs.)		WDF (Rs.)	activity (ha)	(Rs.)	aries	SC	ST I	⁷ d (Rs.)
South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills- IWMP- IV	Doragre	CPRs	Repairing	-	3.25	100	0.1625						

CHAPTER V PROJECT PHASING & BUDGETING

CHAPTER V PROJECT PHASING & BUDGETING

ACTION PLAN OF DORA MICRO WATERSHED UNDER IWMP-IV

Name of District:	South Garo Hills
Name of C&RD Block:	Gasuapara

SI No.	Activities	1st '	Year	2nd Y	'ear	3rd	Year	4th Y	'ear	5th	n Year	Tot	al
	Activities	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin	Phy	Fin
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
I	MANAGEMENT COST 10 %			2	%	5	%	3	%			10 9	%
Α	Administration Cost												
i	Honourarium of WDT Members @ Rs. 5,000			12 Mnths	0.60	24 Mnths	1.20	12 Mnths	0.60			48 Mnths	2.40
	Month: 1 No.												
ii	Honourarium of Watershed Volunteers @ Rs												
	2,000 Month-2 Nos			3 Mnths	0.12	14 Mnths	0.56	7 Mnths	0.28			24 Mnths	0.96
iii	Honourarium WCO's @ Rs. 750 Month			3 Mnths	0.0225	14 Mnths	0.105	7 Mnths	0.0525			24 Mnths	0.18
	Honourarium Chartered Accountant				0.15		0.15		0.15				0.45
	TA/DA of Field Asstt. @ Rs. 5000 Month			3 Mnths	0.15	15 Mnths	0.75	6 Mnths	0.30			24 Mnths	1.20
vi	Hiring charges of office building @ Rs 2000			2 Mnths	0.04	16 Mnths	0.32	6 Mnths	0.12			24 Mnths	0.48
vii	Hiring charges of vehicle @ Rs. 2000			2 Mnths	0.04	6 Mnths	0.12	4 Mnths	0.08			12 Mnths	0.24
viii	Office expenses, PQL, Stationeries, printing				0.3775		0.545		0.6675				1.59
	of SHG's books, pamphlets, tea, snacks etc.												
	Total of A			25 Mnth	1.50	89 Mnth	3.75	42 Mnth	2.25			156 Mnth	7.50
	PREPARATORY PHASE 4 %	4	%						-			4 9	6
В	Entry Point Activites:												
i	Construction of Drinking Water System	3 Nos	3.00									3 Nos.	3.00
	Spring Chamber with Tank @ Rs. 1,00,000												
	Total of B	3 Nos	3.00									3 Nos.	3.00
С	Training 5 %	`1	%	2	%	1	%	1	%			5 9	%
i	Community Organization	1 No.	0.35	1 No.	0.25							2 Nos.	0.60
ii	Training of WDT at NIRD/ Guwahati			1 No.	0.25	1 No.	0.25	1 No.	0.25			3 Nos.	0.75
iii	Taining for W.C	1 No.	0.40	1 No.	0.25							2 Nos.	0.65
iv	Taining for SHGs			1 No.	0.25	1 No.	0.25		0.25			2 Nos.	0.75
v	Taining for Farmers			1 No.	0.25	1 No.	0.25	1 No.	0.25			3 Nos.	0.75
vi	Training for Ugs			1 No.	0.25							1 Nos.	0.25
	Total of C	2 Nos	0.75	6 Nos	1.50	3 Nos	0.75		0.75			13 Nos.	3.75

D	Detailed Project Report 1 %	1	%								1	%
i	1 No. of vehicle hiring charge	30 Days	0.50								30 Days	0.50
ii	Detail Base Line Survey, Identification of											
	Enggineering Structures, PRA Exercise, Making		0.25									0.25
	Estimate & Project Report etc.											
	Total of D	30 Days	0.75								30 Days	0.75
E	Monitoring & Evaluation 2 %			0.5	%	1	%	0.5	%	-	2	%
i	Monitoring			1 No.	0.15	1 No.	0.375	1 No.	0.225		3 Nos	0.75
ii	Evaluation			1 No	0.225	1 No.	0.375	1 No.	0.15		3 Nos	0.75
	Total of E			2 Nos.	0.375	2 Nos	0.75	2 Nos.	0.375		6 Nos	1.50
		0 Mnths		25 Mnths		89 Mnths		42 Mnths			156 Mnths	
	Total of I (A to E)	5 Nos	4.50	8 Nos.	3.375	5 Nos.	5.25	2 Nos.	3.375		20 Nos.	16.50
		30 Days									30 Days	
II	PROJECT COST/ WATERSHED WORKS PHASE			7.5	%	35	%	7.5	%		50	%
Α.	Non-Arable Land Treatment 50 %											
i	Nursery Establishment-Arecanut for							35 Ha	3.00		35 Ha	3.00
	35 Ha											
ii	Afforestation (Non Pine) 35 Ha @ Rs. 10,100/-											
	a) Preliminary Year @ Rs. 1,700 /- per Ha			35 Ha.	0.595						35 Ha.	0.595
	b) 1st Year Planting @ Rs. 5,500 /- per Ha					Mntce	1.925					1.925
	c) 2nd Year Planting @ Rs. 2,900 /- per Ha							Mntce	1.015			1.015
iii	Rubber Plantation 40 Ha. @ Rs. 8,600											
	a) Pre-Work @ Rs. 1,300 /- per Ha.			40 Ha	0.52						40 Ha	0.52
	b) 1st Year Planting including cost of Rubber					Mntce	1.84					1.84
	Stump @ Rs. 4,600 /- per Ha.											
	c) 2nd Year Planting @ Rs. 2,700 /- per Ha.							Mntce	1.08			1.08
	Total of A			75 Ha.	1.115		3.765		5.095		110 Ha.	9.975
								35	На			
В	Drainage Line Treatment				-		-					
i	Small Dug-Out Pond @ Rs. 75,000			2 Nos.	1.50	6 Nos.	4.50				8 Nos.	6.00
	Protect/ Retaining Wall @ Rs. 80,000			1 No.	0.80	5 Nos.	4.00				6 Nos.	4.80
iii	Water Harvesting Structure @ Rs. 75,000			1 No.	0.75	7 Nos.	5.25				8 Nos.	6.00
iv	C.C Check Dam @ Rs. 1,20,000			1 No.	1.20	7 Nos.	8.40				8 Nos.	9.60
v	Run-off Disposal/ Diversion Channel as per			100 Rm	0.26	150 Rm.	0.335	200 Rm	0.53		450 Rm.	1.125
	Estimate											
	Total of B			5 No.	4.51	25 Nos.	22.485	0 Nos.	0.53		30 Nos	27.525
						150 Rm.					450 Rm.	
				100 Rm		150 Rm.		200 Rm			450 Rm.	
	Total of A+ B 50 %			75 Ha.	5.625		26.25		5.625		110 Ha.	37.50
				5 Nos.		25 Nos.		35	Nos.		30	Nos.

С	Livelihood Activities 10		1	%	3	%	6	%			10	%
	for the asset less persons											
i	Tailoring @ Rs 8,000 /- Unit		2 Units	0.16	3 Units	0.24	5 Units	0.40			10 Units	0.80
ii	Carpentry @ Rs 5,000 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.05	1 Unit	0.05	5 Units	0.25			7 Units	0.35
iii	Kitchen Gardening @ Rs 2,500 /- Unit		2 Units	0.05	3 Units	0.08	13 Units	0.325			18 Units	0.45
iv	Weaving @ Rs 12,500 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.125	4 Units	0.50	3 Units	0.375			8 Units	1.00
v	Piggery @ Rs 8,000 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.08	5 Units	0.40	9 Units	0.72			15 Units	1.20
vi	Poultry @ Rs 8,000 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.08	5 Units	0.40	9 Units	0.72			15 Units	1.20
vii	Apiculture @ Rs 8,000 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.08	2 Units	0.16	7 Units	0.56			10 Units	0.80
viii	Pisciculture @ Rs 10,000 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.10	3 Units	0.30	8 Units	0.80			12 Units	1.20
ix	Compos Pit @ Rs 2,500 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.025	3 Units	0.075	2 Units	0.05			6 Units	0.15
х	Agricultural implements @ Rs 5,000 /- Unit				1 Unit	0.05	6 Units	0.30			7 Units	0.35
			11 Units	0.75	30 Units	2.25	67 Units	4.50			108 Units	7.50
D	Production System 13 %		1	%	5	%	7	%			13	%
i	Piggery @ Rs 30,000 /- Unit				3 Units	0.90	4 Units	1.20			7 Units	2.10
ii	Poulty @ Rs 30,000 /- Unit				4 Units	1.20	3 Units	0.90			7 Units	2.10
iii	Weaving @ Rs 30,000 /- Unit				3 Units	0.90	3 Units	0.90			6 Units	1.80
iv	Betel Nut Processing @ Rs 50,000 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.50	1 Unit	0.50	2 Units	1.00			4 Units	2.00
v	Duckery @ Rs 25,000 /- Unit		1 Unit	0.25	1 Unit	0.25	5 Units	1.25			7 Units	1.75
	Total of D		2 Units	0.75	12 Units	3.75	17 Units	5.25			31 Units	9.75
Е	Consolidation & Exit Phase 5 %								5	%	5	%
i	Repairing of Small Dug-out Pond								5 Nos.	0.50	5 Nos.	0.50
ii	Repairing of Protection/ Retaining Wall								5 Nos.	0.75	5 Nos.	0.75
iii	Repairing of Water Harvesting Structure								5 Nos.	1.00	5 Nos.	1.00
iv	Repairing of C.C Check Dam								5 Nos.	1.00	5 Nos.	1.00
v	Preparation of Project Completion Report								1 No.	0.25	1 No.	0.25
vi	Documentation of the Project								1 No.	0.25	1 No.	0.25
	Total of E								22 Nos.	3.75	22 Nos.	3.75
	Total of II (A+B+C+D+E)			7.125		32.25		15.375		3.75		58.50
	Grand Total	4.50		10.50		37.50		18.75		3.75		75.00
	100 %	6 %	14	%	50	%	25	%	5	%	100	%

L. N. Marak Divisional Officer Baghmara, Soil Cons. (C.C.) Division Baghmara, South Garo Hills.

Deputy Commissioner South Garo Hills, Baghmare

41

ABSTRACT OF PERSPECTIVE PLAN FOR CONVERGENCE OF NREGS WITH IWMP 2010-11 AT DORAGRE VILLAGE UNDER DORA MICRO WATERSHED,SGH - IWMP-IV

Total Wage Component	=	Rs. 7	,84,800
Total Wage Component @ Rs 117/- per annum in the 4th year		Rs.	0
Total Wage Component @ Rs 117/- per annum in the 3rd year		Rs.	41280
Total Wage Component @ Rs 117/- per annum in the 2nd year		Rs. 7	,02,240
Total Wage Component @ Rs 117/- per annum in the 1st year		Rs.	41,280

Name of Village : Doragre

Total No. of Job Card Holder :

38 Household

								PROJECT P	ERIO)							Total		Mandays
Sl No.	Activities	Units		2011-12			2012-2	13		2013-1	.4		2014-	15			TUtai		to
51 100.	Activities	Units	Phy	Fina	ncial	Dhy	Fina	incial	Phy	Fina	ncial	Phv	Fin	ancial	Phy		Financia		be
			FIIY	Wages	Material	FIIY	Wages	Material	FIIY	Wages	Material	FIIY	Wages	Material	FIIY	Wages	Material	Total	Generated
1	Small Dug out Pond @	Nos.				3	1,35,000								3	1,35,000	90,000	2,25,000	1154
	Rs. 75,000																		
2	Rubber Plantation	Ha.	8	41,280	27,520	14	72,240	48,160	8	41,280	27,520				30	1,54,800	1,03,200	258000	1323
	@ Rs. 8,600 per Ha.																		
3	Protection Wall/Retaining	Nos.				3	1,44,000	96,000							3	1,44,000	96000	240000	1231
	Wall @ Rs. 80,000/-																		
4	Water Harvesting @					3	1,35,000	90,000							3	135000	90000	225000	1154
	Rs. 75,000/-																		
5	CC Check Dam @					3	216000	1,44,000							3	216000	144000	3,60,000	1846
	Rs. 1,20,000/-																		
	Total			41,280	27,520	12	7,02,240	4,68,160		41,280	27,520				12	7,84,800	5,23,200	13,08,000	6708
			8	На		14	На		8	Ha.					30	Ha.			

Amount Allocated for Covergence for the period 2011-12 to 2014-15

1. Wage Component	Rs	784800	
2. Material Component	Rs.	523200	
Grand Total	Rs.	1308000	4
Grand Total (Rupees Thirter President Doragre VE Gasuapara C&RE	Ċ	eight thousand) only L. N. Marak Divisional Officer Baghmara, Soil Cons. (C.C.) Division Baghmara, South Gare	Deputy Commissioner South Garo Hi Reputy Commissioner Baghma South Garo Hills, Baghmara

Secretary Doragre VEC Gasuapara C&RD Block South Garo Hills.

42

Details of the types of areas covered under the IWMP Programme:

1	2	3	4	5	6	<u>ó</u>	7	8	9			10				11		
SL	Name of State	Name of Distric	Names of	Year of sanct	Pro dura (dd/i yyy	tion mm/	Area of the	Project cost (Rs. In	Names of Micro watersheds & Code nos. (as	Area (ha) of the projects						ea details within the	(ha) projects)	
N D		ts	Projects	ion	From	То	projects	lakh)	per DoLR's unique codification)						(8		FJ,	
										Cultiva ted rainfed area	Cultiva ted irrigate d area	Unculti waste		Pvt. Agri. Land	Forest land	Comm unity land	Others (pl. specify)	Total area (ha)
												a) Tempora ry fallow	b) Per manent					
1	Meghalaya	South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills – IWMP IV	2010 -11	2010- 11	2014 -15	500 Ha	75 Lakhs	Doragre	170.6 На	Nil	329.4	-	32	42	130	296	500

Fund provision for the IWMP projects from all sources:

1	2	3	3					4						5
						Funds fro	om other so	urces in a	addition to I	WMP fu	nds	1		
District	Name of Projects	IWMF	P Fund	Conve fur	rgence nds	e PPP Community			utional ance		ers (Pl. ecify)			
		Central Share	State Share	Name of Scheme	Amount (Lakhs)	Name of private sector	Financial contri-bution	Name	Financial contri-bution	Name	Financial contri-bution	Name	Financial contri-bution	Total
South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills – IWMP IV	67.50 lakhs	7.5 lakhs	NREGS	7.848	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil	82.848 lakhs

Details of Project Fund Accounts of Distt. Agency and Watershed Committees:

1	2	3	4		5					6		
				Distt.	Agency's Proj	ect Account of	letails		Watershed Co	mmittee (WC) acc	count detail	s:
Sl. No.	Names of States	Name of Districts	Names of Projects	Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened	Account Number (to be obtained confiden- tially)	Account type (Savings/ Current/ Others)	Name & Designatio n of authorized persons who operate the account.	Name of Watershed Committee	Name of the Bank and Branch where project account has been opened	Account number (to be obtained confiden-tially	Accoun t type (Saving s/ current others)	Name & Designation of authorized persons who operate the account.
1	Megha laya	South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills – IWMP IV	State Bank of India, Baghmara Branch	-	Saving	Shri L.N Marak, DS&WCO	Dora Micro Watershed Committee	SBI, Baghmara	31683069362	Saving	Chairman W.C, Secretary W.C, Project Leader / WDT

Details of Convergence of IWMP with other Schemes:

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Sl. No.	District	Names of projects	Names of Departments with Schemes converging with IWMP	Fund made available to IWMP due to convergence (Rs. in lakh)	Name of activity/task/structure undertaken with converged funds (a) Structures (b) livelihoods (c) Any other (pl. specify) [#]	Reference no. of activity/ task/ structure in DPR [@]	Level at which decision for convergence was taken ^{\$}
1	South	South Garo Hills –	* Community Rural Development Department NREGS	7.848	 (a)Dug-out Pond 3 Nos (b)Rubber Plantation 30 Ha (c)Protection Wall/Retaining Wall 3 Nos (d) C.C Check Dam 3 Nos (e) Water Harvesting 3 Nos 	-	Block Level & District Level
2	Garo Hills	IWMP III					

Note: Doragre Village

(a)Dug-out pond 3 No.sWages-1.35Lakhs;(b)Rubber Plantation 30 HaWages-1.548Lakhs;(c)Protection Wall/Retaining Wall 3 nosWages-1.44Lakhs;(d)CC. Check Dam 3 nosWages-2.16Lakhs;(e)Water Harvesting 3 nosWages-1.35Lakhs;

Material-0.90 Lakhs; Material-1.032 Lakhs; Material-0.90 Lakhs; Material-1.44 Lakhs; Material-0.90 Lakhs;

Public-Private Partnership in the IWMP projects: NIL

1	2	3		4			5	6	7	8	9
		Name of	Туре	of agreement	t signed		ncial bution				
District	Name of project	Private Sector Partner Agency	a)MoU	b)Contract	c) Any other(pl. specify)	IWMP	Private sector	Partnership Interventions	Expected Outcomes	Actual Outcomes	Comments
South Garo Hills	South Garo Hills IWMP- IV	-		-		-	-	-	-	_	-

* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, total no. of projects under PPP; from Column no. 5, total no. of private companies/ agencies, from column no. 7, total amounts may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

CHAPTER VI CAPACITY BUILDING

CHAPTER VI CAPACITY BUILDING

Capacity Building is a process to systematically upgrade the skill of individuals or groups for achieving a specific target. Capacity building in the project has been planned for all the stake holders involved i.e. State Level, District Level, Project Level and Village Level. The relevant details pertaining to Capacity Building has been shown below.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 Doutomanaa				
S. No	State	Name of the Training Institute	Full Address with contact no., website & e-mail	Name & Designati on of the Head of Institute	Type of Institute [#]	Area(s) of specialization ^{\$}	Accre- ditation details	Refer- ence Year	No. of trainings assigned		ce No. of trainings conducted	No. of trainees trained
1		NIRD (NER)	Guwahati	Director	Central Govt.	Remote Sensing, Rural Devt.	NA	2011-12 & 2012- 13	3	20	-	-
2		SIRD	Nongsder	Director	State Govt.	Capacity Building	NA	2011-12 & 2012- 13	3	15	-	-
3	Meghalaya	RRTC	Umran	Director	Don-Bosco	Agri-Horti, Animal Husbandry, Entrepreneurship	NA	2011-12 & 2012- 13	3	25	-	-
4	Megh	ICAR	Umiam	Director	Central Govt.	Do	NA	2011-12 & 2012- 13	3	30	-	-
5		NEHU	Tura, Campus	Director	State Govt.	Academic & Research	NA	2011-12 & 2012- 13	2	15	-	-
6		CTI	Byrnihat	Jt. Director	State Govt.	Watershed Management	NA	2011-12 & 2012- 13	3	20	-	-

• From Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3, no. of training institutes, from column No. 9, total no. of category-wise trainings and trainees may be given at the end of the table for the entire country

- # Central govt. Dept./ State govt. Dept./ Autonomous Body/ Research Institutes/ Universities/ Others (pl. specify)
- \$ Capacity Building/ Agriculture/ Horticulture/ Animal Husbandry/ Pisciculture/ Remote Sensing/ Water conservation/ Ground water/ Forestry/ livelihoods/ entrepreneurship development/ others (pl. specify)

[®] The training institutes must fulfill the conditions mentioned in the operations guidelines.

- (i) Technical experts in fields required by IWMP
- (ii) Past experiences
- (iii) Annual Turnover
- (iv) Receives funds either from the Central or State Government
- (v) Publications
- (vi) Not blacklisted by any Govt. organizations
- (vii) Audited accounts
- (viii) Organizational structure

Table 6.2: Capacity Building activities for the year 2010 – 11 as on 31/03/2011 (dd/mm/yyyy)*

1	2	3	4	5		6		7
Project	Total no.	No. of persons	No. of persons to be trained	No. of persons trained during	Sources of funding for training			s utilized akhs)
Stakeholders	of persons	trained so far	during current financial year	current financial year	a) DoLR	b) Any other (Pl. specify)	a) DoLR	b) Any other (Pl. specify)
SLNA			-	-				
DRDA/ZP cell			25 Nos.	-				
PIAs			25 Nos.	-				
WDTs			20 Nos.	-				
UGs			20 Nos.	-	3.75	-	1.50	-
SHGs			30 Nos.	-				
WCs			20 Nos.	-				
GPs			15 Nos.	-				
Community			150 Nos.	-	1			
Others Pl. specify)								

 Table 6.3: Information, Education & Communication (IEC) activities for the year 10-11 as on 31/03/11 (dd/mm/yyy)*

	1	2	3	4	5
	Activity	Executing agency	Estimated expenditure (Rs.)	Expenditure incurred (Rs.)	Outcome (may quantity, wherever possible)
1.	Awareness	S&WC (CC) Division	0.75	0.75	
2.	Capacity Building	S&WC (CC) Division	0.75	0.75	

CHAPTER VII EXPECTED OUTCOME

CHAPTER VII EXPECTED OUTCOME

Table 7.1 Employment related outcomes:

			1											2		
Sl	Name of		Wage employment										Sel	f employı	ment	
No	Village		No). of mand	lays			No.	of benefi	ciaries		No. of beneficiaries				
		SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total	SC	ST	Others	Women	Total
1.	Doragre		100 %	11204	7469	18673		100 %	102	107	209		100 %	63	41	104

Table 7.2 Migration Details:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	1	0
Names of the Districts	Names of Projects	Name of village	No. of persons migrating	No. of days per year of migration	Major reason(s) for migrating	Distance of destination of migration from the village (km)	Occupation during migration	Income from such occupation (Rs. in lakh)	identify majo	d migration or activities of esponsible (b) Livelihoods
				Ν	Ι	L				

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 5, total no. of villages; from column no. 6, total no. of persons migrating; from column no. 7, average no. of days for annual migration; from column no. 9, average distance of migration from the village and form column no. 11, average income from occupation during migration, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.3 Economic benefits accrued to women:

]	1	2	2		3	4
Wa	iges	Trai	ning	Liv		
Woman days	Amount (Rs. in lakh)	No. of women participants	Amount (Rs. in lakh)	No. of women beneficiaries	Value of assistance provided (Rs. in lakh)	Total (Rs. in lakh)
7469	7.469	80	1.875	50 nos. 10.65		19.99

* from Column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from Column no. 3 to 6, category-wise totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.4 Details of rights conferred in the CPRs of the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5	6			7		8
Names of the Districts	Names of the	Names of the villages	Particular of CPR	Nature of	Period of	Be		y details (1 milies)	no. of	User Charges
Districts	projects	vinages	OI CPR	right	right	SC	St	Others	Total	(Rs.)
South Garo Hills District	SGH-IWMP-IV	Doragre	Community Forest	Fw	6 months	-	38	-	38	Nil

* From column no. 2, no. of States; from column no. 3, no. of Districts; from column no. 4, no. of projects; from column no. 5, no. of villages; from column nos. 9 & 10, particular-wise totals for the entire country may be given at the end of the table.
@ In column no. 6, the categories given in table no. M(SP) 10, column 5 may be filled as required.

In column no. 7, only the letter assigned to each type, as given below, needs to be typed.

F	for right to	fishing [culture, harvest and sale]
Fw	for right to	collect firewood for domestic purposes
G	for right to	grazing for cattle and
MFP	for right to	collect and sell minor forest produces
Р	for right to	passage across the CPR
Rd	for right to	construct a road for access to individual property
S/M	for right to	collect and sell sand and minerals
Т	for right to	collect timber for construction of house
Wd	for right to	collect/ use water for drinking
Wi	for right to	use water for irrigation
0	for any right o	other than indicated above (please specify)

Table 7.5 Water related outcomes:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Names of Districts	Names of Projects	Sources	Pre-Project level	Mid-term project level	Post-Project level	Increase/decrease (Col. 8 – Col. 6)	Remarks
		Open wells	1.5	1.4	1.3	0.2	-
South Garo Hills District	SGH-IWMP IV	Bore wells	-	-	-	-	-
		Others (specify) Springs	very poor poor	poor	Good	Increased	_

Table 7.5.1 Details of average ground water table depth in the project areas of the Country: State-wise * (in metres)

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 to 9, the average measurements, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the table. The data must be based on the average of the Ground Water Table collected by PIA with the help of concerned technical expert in the same sample of 10 % of selected wells and bore wells in the villages in the watershed project area during pre-project, mid-term and post-project periods.

Table 7.5.2 Status of Drinking water:

1	2		3			4		5
District	strict Name of the		oility of drinki of monyhs in a	0	Qualit	y of drinking	, water	Commonta
District	project	Pre-project	Post- project	Change in availability	Pre- project	Post- project	Change in quality	Comments
South Garo Hills District	SGH-IWMP IV	Insufficient	Sufficient	10 – 12 months	Moderate	Improved	Improved	-

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, category-wise no. of projects, from column no. 5, average no. of months may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.5.3 Water Use efficiency:

1	2	3		4		
				Water savings in	cu.m.	
District	Name of the project	Name of major crop	through water saving devices ^{\$}	through water conserving agronomic practices [#]	Any other (pl specify)	Total
South Garo Hills District	SGH-IWMP IV				_	-

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 6, practice-wise totals may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country. ${}^{\$}_{\#}$ Sprinkler, Drip, PVC pipe, etc.

[#] Vermi-compost, organic manuring, Mulching, Check basin, Alternate furrow, Ridges & furrow & other scientific practices.

Table 7.6: Vegetation/ crop related outcomes:

1	2	3			4							5						6		
					Pre-pr	oject					Mi	d-term	l				Р	ost-pro	oject	
Names of the Districts	Name of Projects	Name of crops	Ar (h	rea a)	Aver Yie (Qtl) ha) per	Proc	'otal luction Qtl)		rea ha)	Yi pe	erage ield r ha Qtl)	Prod	otal uction (tl)	Ar (ha		Yie per	rage eld • ha ptl)		roduction Qtl)
			Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irr i	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.
		Rice	-	28	-	15	-	420	-	22	-	18		396	-	20		24		480
South	SGH-	Maize	-	12.6	-	6	-	75.6	I	6.8	-	8		54.4	-	3.4		8		27.2
Garo	IWMP	Ginger	-	12.6	-	25	-	315	I	6.8	-	28		190.4	-	3.5		29		101.5
Hills	IV	Turmeric	-	12.6	-	30	-	378	I	6.8	-	32		217.6	-	3.5		33		115.5
District		Chilli	-	12.6	-	4	-	50.4	I	6.8	-	6		40.8	-	3.6		7		25.2
		Tapioca	-	12.6	-	30	-	378	-	6.8	-	32		217.6	-	3.3		33		108.9
		Brinjal	-	12.6	-	20	-	252	-	6.8	-	22		149.6	-	3.7		23		85.1
		Cowpea	-	12.6	-	15	-	189	-	6.8	-	17		115.6	-	3.5		18		63
		Pumpkin	-	12.6	-	50	-	630	-	6.8	-	53		360.4	-	3.4		54		183

Note : The Area of Jhum crops decreases in the Mid- term and Post project because of converting it to Permanent Plantation (Rubber & arecanut).

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8, the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. - Irrigated Rf - Rainfed

Table 7.6.2 Details of Rabi crop area and yield in the project areas:

1	2	3	4	5			6	,						7					1	8		
							Pre-p	roject					Mid	-term					Post-p	orojec	t	
	States	f the Districts of Projects		Name of crops		rea la)	Yi	rage eld) per a.	To Proc 0 (Q	n		rea 1a)	Aver Yie per (Q	ha	To Produ (Q	iction		rea a)	Aven Yie per (Q	eld ha	To Produ (Q	iction
SI	ofS		\mathbf{Pr}		Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.
No.	-	f tł		Cabbage	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	10	-	30	-	5	-	15	-	75	
	Names	s of	ne	Knol khol	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	90	-	360	-	6	-	95	-	570	l
	Na	me	Name	Mustard	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	8	-	24	-	5	-	10	-	50	
		Names	F	Raddish	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	80	-	320	-	6	-	86	-	516	
				Cauliflower	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	-	10	-	50	-	7	-	57	-	399	
	Meghalay a	South Garo Hills.	Total for the District																			

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8, the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. – Irrigated Rf – Rainfed

1	2	3	4	5			(6						7					8			
		e				Pre-project						Mid	l-term				P	ost-pr	oject			
SI No.	Names of States	Names of the Districts	Name of Projects	Name of crops		rea ha)	Yi (Qtl)	rage eld) per a.	Prod	otal luction Qtl)	Ar (h	rea a)	Yi per	rage eld ha tl)	Tot Produ (Qt	ction		rea ha)	Aver Yie per (Q	eld ha	To Produ (Q	iction
					Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.	Irri	Rf.
			SGH-	Maize	-	12.6	-	6	-	75.6	3	6	8	7	24	42	5	3	9	8	45	24
	-	Garo istrict	IWMP IV																			
	aya																					
	hal		Total for																			
	Meghalaya	Sout Hills	the																			
	N	I	District																			

Table 7.6.3 Details of Zaid crop area and yield in the project areas of the Country: State-wise:

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of crops; from column no. 6 to 8, the totals for the area, average yield per ha and total production, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Irri. – Irrigated Rf – Rainfed

Table 7.6.4 Increase/ Decrease in area under fodder:

1	2	3		4			5	
			Existing	area under fod	der (ha)		Achievement (ha)	
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under fodder	Area under fodder proposed to be covered through IWMP	Area under fodder actually covered through IWMP	Change in area under fodder
South Garo Hills District	SGH-IWMP IV	5 yrs	NA	NA	NA	nil	nil	nil

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.5 Increase/ Decrease in Forest/vegetation cover:

1	2	3		4			5	
			Existi	ing area tree c	over (ha)		Achievement (ha)	
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under forest/vegetative cover	Forest/vegetative cover area proposed to be covered under IWMP	Forest/vegetative cover area actually covered under IWMP	Change in forest/vegetative cover area
South Garo Hills District	SGH- IWMP IV	5 yrs	LULC Map, NESAC, Umiam	2005 - 06	42 Ha	35 Ha	35Ha	35 Ha

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

1	2	3		4			5	
			Existing ar	ea under hortic	ulture (ha)		Achievement (ha)	
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under horticulture	Area under horticulture proposed to be covered through IWMP	Area under horticulture actually covered through IWMP	Change in area under horticulture
South Garo Hills District	SGH-IWMP IV	5 yrs			17	75	75 Ha	75 Ha

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.6.7 Increase/ Decrease in area under fuel-wood:

1	2	3		4		5					
			Existing a	area under fo	odder (ha)	Achievement (ha)					
District	Name of project	Duration of Project	Source/Name of report	Year of reference	Area already under fuel- wood	Area under fuel- wood proposed to be covered under IWMP	Area under fuel- wood actually covered under IWMP	Change in area under fuel-wood			
South Garo Hills District	SGH-IWMP IV	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			

* From column no. 2, total number of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 6 & 7, total area in ha may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.7 Livelihood related outcomes:

1	2	3		4			5			6		7	
Names of the	Name of	Type of Animal		Pre-project			Mid-term			Post-project			
Districts	Projects	Type of Animal	No.	Yield	Income	No.	Yield	Income	No.	Yield	Income	Remarks	
		Cattle	238	11424 Kg	17.13 lakh	242	11616 kg	17.42 lakh	285	13680	20.52		
South Garo	SGH-	Goat	37	555 kg	1.38 lakh	41	615 kg	1.53 lakh	50	750	1.87		
Hills District	IWMP IV	Poultry	522	469.8 kg	0.61 lakh	550	495 kg	0.64 lakh	610	549	0.71		
		Piggery	100	2400 kg	3.84 lakh	118	2832 kg	4.53 lakh	126	3024	4.83		
	Total for												
	all												
	projects												
Total for all													
Districts													

Table 7.7.1 Details of livestock in the project areas (for fluids please mention in litres, for solids please mention in kgs. and income in Rs.):

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column nos. 5 to 8, the total nos. of animals and the average yield and incomes, category-wise, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.2 Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

1	2	3	4			5		6			7			8										
Distric	. .			Nama af	Name of	Name of	Name of	U	Fund required	Sou	irces of f	unding (R	Rs.)	Actual Expenditur	No	No. of beneficiaries trained			ned	No. of beneficiaries taking up activity				ng up
t	ect	activity	for the activity (Rs.)	Project Fund	Benefi -ciary	Others (pl. specify)	Total	e incurred on activity (Rs.)	SC	ST	Othe rs	Wome n	Tot al	SC	ST		Wome n	Total						
		Tailoring	-	-	-	-	I	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-						
South	SG	Basket -																						
Garo	H-	making																						
Hills	IW	Stabilized																						
District	MP	Mud -																						
	IV	making																						
	1,	Vermi -																						
		compos -																						
		ting																						
		Kitchen -																						
		gardening																						

(Contd.)

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of activities; from column no. 6, total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12, category-wise totals, from column no. 13, category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

Table 7.7.3 Details of other livelihoods created for landless people:

	9	10		11								
No of nors	and amplayed			Impact of livelihoods programme								
No. of persons employed indirectly in the activity		Annual increase in income due to	0	ration eneficiaries)	Development forward	Any other information						
Total	Grand Total (8+9)	activity (Rs.)	Pre-project	Post-project	Pre-project	Post-project	(pl. Specify)					
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-					

1	2	3	4		5			6			7		8			
			Fund requir	Sources of funding (Rs.) in Lakhs			Actual		No. of tra	' farm ained	ers	No. of farmers taking up activity				
District	Project	Name of activity	ed for the activit y (Rs.) in lakhs	Project Fund	Beneficiary	Othe rs (pl. specif y)	Total	Actual Expenditure incurred on activity (Rs.)	S F	MF	LF	Total	S F	MF	LF	Total
		1.Pisciculture	1.26	1.20	0.06	-	1.26	1.20	-	120	-	120	-	12	-	12
t		2.Tailoring	0.84	0.80	0.04	-	0.84	0.80	-	80	-	80	-	10	-	10
itric		3.Carpentry	0.3675	0.35	0.0175	-	0.3675	0.35	-	90	-	90	-	7	-	7
South Garo Hills District	P -IV	4.Kitchen Gardening	0.4725	0.45	0.0225	-	0.4725	0.45	-	80	-	80	-	70	-	70
HI	IWMP	5.Weaving	2.34	2.80	0.14		2.34	2.80	-	80	I	80	-	10	-	10
ro]	-IM	6. Piggery	3.465	3.3	0.165		3.465	3.3		100		100		20		20
Ga	GH	7. Poultry	3.465	3.3	0.165		3.465	3.3		100		100		80		80
ith	SC	8.Compost pit	0.1575	0.15	0.0075		0.1575	0.15		80		80		10		10
Sou		9.Duckery	1.8375	1.75	0.0875		1.8375	1.75		100		100		70		70
•1		10.Betelnut Processing	2.1	2.0	0.1	-	2.1	2.0		100		100		40		40

* From column no. 2, total number of States; from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects, from column no. 5, total no. of activities; from column no. 6, total funds required for the activity, from column no. 7 to 12, category-wise totals, from column no. 13, category-wise totals, for the entire country may be given at the end of the Table.

	9	10			11		12	
No. of persons employed indirectly in the activity		Annual increase in income due to	0	Impact of livelib ration eneficiaries)	Development	ne of backward- linkages	Any other information	
Total	Grand Total (8+9)	activity (Rs.)	Pre-project	Post-project	Pre-project	Post-project	(pl. Specify)	
(i) 10	22	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	
(ii) 20	30	50,000	-	-	-	-	-	
(iii) 15	22	35,000	-	-	-	-	_	
(iv) 40	110	60,000	-	-	-	-	-	
(v) 10	20	45,000						
(vi)20	40	55,000						
(vii)40	120	40,000						
(viii)10	20	35,000						
(ix)30	100	40,000						
(x)15	55	35,000						

Table 7.8 Marketing related outcomes:

Backward-Forward linkages *

1	2	3	4	5	6
District	Project	Type of Marketing Facility	Pre-project (no.)	During the project (no.)	Post- project (no.)
		(A) Backward linkages			
South Garo	SGH-	(i) Seed certification	-	-	-
Hills District	IWMP IV	(ii) Seed supply system	-	-	-
		(iii) Fertilizer supply system	-	-	-
		(iv) Pesticide supply system	-	-	-
		(v) Credit institutions	-	1	2
		(vi) Water supply	-	2	3
		(vii) Extension services	-	3	5
		(viii) Nurseries	-	1	2
		(ix) Tools/machinery suppliers	-	-	-
		(x) Price Support system	-	-	-
		(xi) Labour	-	-	-
		(xii) Any other (please specify)	-	-	-
		(A) Forward linkages		-	-
		(i) Harvesting/threshing machinery	-	-	-
		(ii) Storage (including cold storage)	-	-	-
		(iii) Road network	-	-	-
		(iv) Transport facilities	-	-	-
		(v) Markets / Mandis	-	-	-
		(vi) Agro and other Industries	-	-	-
		(vii) Milk and other collection centres	-	-	-
		(viii) Labour	-	-	-
		(ix) Any other (please specify)	-	-	-

* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from column no. 4, total no. of projects; from column no. 6, 7 & 8, category-wise totals may be given at the end of the table for the entire country.

Table 7.9 Abstract of outcomes:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Sl. No.	State	Item	Unit	Pre-project Status	Post- project Status	Remarks
		Status of water table		Very poor - poor	Good	
		Ground water structures repaired/ rejuvenated		-	10 nos.	
		Quality of drinking water		Moderate potable	Improved	
		Availability of drinking water		Insufficient	Sufficient	
		Increase in irrigation potential		-	-	
		Change in cropping/ land use pattern		-	-	
		Area under agricultural crop				
		i Area under single crop	Ha.	15	15	
		ii Area under double crop	Ha.	-	15	
		iii Area under multiple crop	Ha.	-	50	
		Net increase in crop production area	Ha.		80	
		Increase in area under vegetation	Ha.	172	207	
		Increase in area under horticulture	Ha.	17	92	
		Increase in area under fuel & fodder		-	-	
		Increase in milk production		-	-	
		No. of SHGs	Nos.	-	10	
		Increase in no. of livelihoods	Nos.	-	38	
		Increase in income	Rs in lakhs	0.25 - 0.35	0.35 -0.45	
		Migration		-	-	
		No. of school going children	Nos.	50	104	
		SHG Federations formed		-	-	
		Credit linkage with banks	Nos.	-	5	
		Resource use agreements	Nos.	-	3	
		WDF collection & management	Nos.	-	1	
		Summary of lessons learnt	May be	e attached as a separat	te file	

Table 7.10 Cost effectiveness of structures/ activities*
--

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
District	Name of project	Name of WC	Name of structure/ activity	Estimated cost (Rs.)	Expected quantifiable benefits (Rs.)	Expenditure incurred (Rs.)	Actual quantifiable benefit (Rs.)	Benefit: Cost ratio [#]	IRR
South Garo Hills District	SGH- IWMP IV	Dora Micro (IWMP- IV)	As per Treatment Plan	58.5 lakhs	81.9 lakhs	58.5 lakhs	-	1:1.4	-


* from column no. 2, total no. of States implementing the programme, from column no. 3, total no. of Districts; from Column no. 4, no. of projects, from column no. 5, no. of WCs, from column no. 6, no. of structures/ activities, from column no. 7 to 10, category-wise# totals, may be mentioned at the end of the table for the entire country.


[#] B:C ratio more than 1 – cost effective


less than 1 – Not cost effective


ANNEXURE I


MAPS























ANNEXURE II

SOCIO-ECONOMIC SURVEY DETAILS

SOCIO ECONOMIC SURVEY NAME OF THE VILLAGE: - DORAGRE

Sl.No						Land		Live Stock			
	Name of the Head of the Family	Male	Female	Total	Occupation	Holding (Area in					Total Annual Income
	T uning					Ha)	Cattle	Piggery	Goat	Poultry	income
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	13
1	Shri. Delison Sangma	4	4	8	Farmer	2	6	2	0	13	24,000.00
2	Shri. Diring Sangma	4	3	7	Farmer	1.5	4	3	0	8	25,000.00
3	Shri. Pongsong Marak	3	2	5	Farmer	0.5	2	1	5	16	34,000.00
4	Shri. Bighinath Marak	3	4	7	Farmer	1.2	3	2	0	14	30,000.00
5	Shri. Epen Marak	1	2	3	Farmer	0.5	3	1	0	7	26,000.00
6	Shri. Mimi Marak	2	3	5	Farmer	1	4	2	0	13	24,000.00
7	Shri. Piren Marak	5	4	9	Farmer	1.2	6	1	0	9	30,000.00
8	Shri. Michael Marak	3	2	5	Farmer	1.1	4	3	0	13	35,000.00
9	Shri. Sib Marak	1	1	2	Farmer	0.5	7	2	0	16	32,000.00
10	Shri. Dipilson Marak	3	4	7	Farmer	2	4	6	0	14	31,000.00
11	Shri. Ranseng Marak	2	2	4	Farmer	1.5	11	6	3	0	34,000.00

12	Shri. Debilson Marak	2	5	7	Farmer	0.5	15	2	0	12	32,000.00
13	Shri. Niush Shira	2	3	5	Farmer	1.4	6	4	0	25	38,000.00
14	Shri. Goering Momin	4	4	8	Farmer	2	9	1	0	17	32,000.00
15	Shri. Bimal Marak	2	3	5	Farmer	1	4	3	2	0	28,000.00
16	Smt. Agnes Marak	2	2	4	Farmer	1.3	8	3	0	28	36,000.00
17	Shri. Clivestar Sangma	4	3	7	Farmer	1.5	4	1	2	13	34,000.00
18	Shri. Gestin Marak	2	3	5	Farmer	0.5	5	3	0	6	29,000.00
19	Shri. Depenson Marak	1	4	5	Farmer	2	15	2	0	21	37,000.00
20	Shri. Mingjing Marak	1	0	1	Farmer	0.5	5	0	0	10	31,000.00
21	Shri. Ganjing Sangma	3	4	7	Farmer	1.2	6	3	0	18	30,000.00
22	Shri. Herithson Marak	3	3	6	Farmer	2	13	5	2	21	37,000.00
23	Shri. Johendro Marak	2	2	4	Farmer	1.2	10	2	0	5	32,000.00
24	Shri. Saring Sangma	3	2	5	Farmer	0.5	0	4	0	17	30,000.00
25	Shri. Wanseng Sangma	3	1	4	Farmer	1	4	1	0	0	23,000.00
26	Shri. Karmel Marak	3	2	5	Farmer	1.2	7	0	0	27	34,000.00
27	Shri. Piush Marak	3	2	5	Farmer	0.6	0	4	6	17	32,000.00

28	Shri. Kebillin Marak			7	Farmer						
		3	4		Tarmer	1.4	3	1	0	13	29,000.00
29	Shri. Dilip Sangma			3							
		1	2		Farmer	1.2	4	3	0	6	26,000.00
30	Shri. Jinit Marak			2	Farmer						
		1	1		Tarmer	0.5	7	2	0	9	27,000.00
31	Shri. Gindarson Marak			9	Farmer						
		3	6		Faimei	2	6	4	0	21	36,000.00
32	Shri. Judish Sangma			3	Farmer						
		2	1		Faimei	1.4	3	1	0	19	32,000.00
33	Shri. Clement Sangma			8	Farmer						
		3	5		Farmer	0.5	5	3	0	0	30,000.00
34	Shri. Tigan Marak			9	Farmar						
	_	4	5		Farmer	1	7	2	0	21	32,000.00
35	Shri. Daduram Marak			4	Farmar						
		3	1		Farmer	1.3	13	5	0	17	36,000.00
36	Shri. Menjing Marak			6	Баллаал						
		5	1		Farmer	1.2	7	4	0	19	34,000.00
37	Shri. Promith Sangma			5	Farmar						
	_	2	3		Farmer	1.4	0	5	7	14	37,000.00
38	Shri. Bilek Marak			8	Farmar						
		4	4		Farmer	2	10	3	0	23	35,000.00
	Total	102	107	209		45.3	238	100	37	522	

ANNEXURE III

COST ESTIMATES

ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CEMENT CONCRETE RETAINING WALL AS PER SCHEDULED OF RATES FOR ROADS AND BRIDGES AND E&D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008 IN TURA AND WILLIAMNAGAR CIRCLE

1/134 Excavation of structures (earthwork in excavation of foundation of Structures as per drawing and technical specification including setting out etc

1	х	17	х	0.5	х	1.25	=	10.625	m³
@	34	/m³					=	Rs	361.25


2/137 Plain Cement Concrete 1:3:6 nominal mixed in foundation with crushed stone aggregates 40 mm nominal size mechanically mixed etc including curing for 14 days

1	х	17	х	0.5	х	1.25	=	10.625	m³
1	х	17	х	0.5+0.30	х	2.061	=	<u>14.015</u>	m³
				2		Total	=	24.64	m³
@	3232	/m³					=	Rs	79,636.48

Grand total	=	Rs	79,997.73
	Say	Rs	80,000

(Rupees Eighty Thousand thousand only)

PLAN & SECTION OF C.C RETAINING WALL



Section on A-B

PREPARED BY PROJECT FORMULATION CELL SHILLONG PLAN & SECTION OF C.C RETAINING WALL SCALE: NOT TO SCALE DIMENSION IN METRE

ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CEMENT CONCRETE CHECK DAM AS PER SCHEDULED OF RATES FOR ROADS AND BRIDGES AND E&D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-08 IN TURA AND WILLIAMNAGAR CIRCLE

1/62(i) Earthwork in excavation for structures (construction of retaining walls in cement concrete 1:5 as per drawing and technical specifications).

	Dam	7	х	0.9	х	1			=	6.3	m³	
	Curtain Wall	7	х	0.15	х	0.4			=	0.42	m³	
	Apron	7	х	3	х	0.4			=	8.4	m³	
	Wing wall	2	х	3.5	х	0.9	х	1.1	=	<u>6.93</u>	<u>m³</u>	
										22.05	m³	
		@	72	/m³					=	Rs		1587.6
2/97.	Providing and	layin	g of bo	oulder Apr	on fo	or bed prote	ction	with	ston	e boulder of size	e 15cr	n size
	and 6cm wide	as pe	er drav	ving and t	echn	ical specifica	ation	S.				
	Apron	7	х	3	х	0.25			=	5.25	m³	
	@	884	/m³						=	Rs		4641
3/137	Plain Cement	Conc	rete ir	n proporti	on 1:	3:6 nominal	mixe	ed in fo	ounc	lation with		
	crushed stone	aggr	egates	40mm m	echa	nically mixe	d etc					
	Wing Wall	2	х	3	.5 x	0.9	х	0.1	=	0.63	m³	
	Dam	1	х		7 x	1.1	х	0.1	=	0.77	m³	
		1	х		7 x	1.1	х	1	=	7.7	m³	
		7	х	<u>0.4</u> + 0	<u>.9</u> x	<u>1.7</u>			=	7.73	m³	
				2								

	2 x	4 x	0.6 x	0.3 =	1.44 m³
Apron Curtain	7 x 7 x	3 x 0.15 x	0.15 0.55	= =	3.15 m³ 0.5775 m³
Wall C.C Channel	1 x	8.48 x	0.8 x	0.1 =	0.6784 m³
Channel	2 x	8.48 x	0.8 x	0.1 =	<u>1.3568</u> <u>m³</u> 24.0327 <u>m³</u>
	@	3232 m³		=	Rs 77673.6864

4/62(iii) Construction of retaining walls /breast walls in cement mortar 1:5 as per drawing and technical specifications.

Wing Wall	2	Х	3.5	Х	0.9	х	1	=	6.3	m³
	2 x	3.5 x		<u>0.4 + 0.9</u>		х	1.7	=	<u>7.74</u>	m³
				2					14.04	m³
@	2263	m³						=	Rs	31772.52

5/176 Plastering with cement mortar 1:3 on brick work in sub structure as per technical specification.


Dam							
	2 x	7	х	1.7	=	23.8	m³
	7 x	4	х	0.3	=	8.4	m³
	2 x	0.6	х	0.3	=	0.36	m³
	1 x	5	х	0.6	=	3	m³
C.C	2 x	8.48	х	0.8	=	13.568	m³
Channel							

	1 x	8.48 x	0.8	=	<u>6.784</u> n 55.912 n	
@	74 m³			=	Rs	4137.488

6/134 Excavation of structures (earthwork in excavation of foundation of structures as per drawing and technical specification including setting out and construction of shoring etc.

	8.48	х	0.8	х	0.8			=	5.4272	m³
@	34	m³				=	Rs	185		
							Total	=	Rs	1,19,996.82
							say		Rs	1,20,000
			(Rupees	s one la	akh twent	y tho	busand) only		

PLAN AND SECTION OF CEMENT CONCRETE CHECK DAM




SCALE) NOT TO SCALE DIMENSION IN METRE

ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF DUG-OUT POND AS PER SCHEDULED OF RATES FOR ROADS, BRIDGES AND E &D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-2008 IN TURA CIRCLE AND WILLIAMNAGAR CIRCLE

1/3.	Site Cl	earance	e Area	=	Rs	0.0625	На	
@	3600		/Ha	=	Rs	225		
2/27.			excavation for dug out pond oils and lift complete.	incl	uding d	ressing		
	1no	x	<u>(24 x 24)+(21.5 x 21.5)x2</u> 2	=	Rs	1038.5	m³	
@	Rs	72	/m³	=	Rs	74772		
					Total	74,997.00		
					Say	Rs	75,0)00
			(Rupe	ees s	eventy	five thousand	d) Only	

PLAN FOR DUG OUT POND





PREPARED BY PROJECT FORMULATION CELL SHILLONG DIMENSION IN METRE SCALE + NOT TO SCALE

ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF SPRING CHAMBER WITH WATER RESERVOIR (Rates as per P.W.D scheduled of rates for building works for the year 2010-2011)

1/1.1 Earthwork on excavation in foundation trenches including dressing of sides and ramming of the bottom including staking etc.(d) Soft laminated rock or medium shale

For Spring Cha	mber:											
	1	х	1	х	2	х	0.8	х	1	=	1.6	m³
	1	х	2	х	2 2	х	0.8	х	0.8	=	2.56	m³
For Reservoir:												
	1	х	2	х	3	х	0.3	х	0.5	=	0.75	m³
	1	х	2	х	3 2	х	0.3	х	0.5	=	0.45	m³
For Pipe Pedes	stals:											
	15	х	0	х	0	х	0.6	5		=	<u>1.44</u>	m³
									Total	=	6.8	m³
@	122	/m³								=	Rs	829.6

2/4.5 Providing 100mm thick solling with approved quality of stones etc.

For Spring Cham	ber:						
	1	х	1 x	2 x	0.8	=	1.6 m²
	1	х	2 x	2 x	0.8	=	3.2 m²
For Reservoir:							
	1	х	2 x	3 x	0.3	=	1.5 m²

		1		х	2	х	2	х	0.3	3		=	0.9	m²
		1		х	1	Х	3	х	1.	5		=	3.75	m²
	For Pipe Ped	estals:												
		15		х	0	Х	0					=	<u>2.4</u>	m²
											Total	=	13.35	m²
	@	166		/m²								=	Rs	2216.1
3/2.1			emen	t con	crete	e in l	proportion 1:4	4:8 etc.						
	For Spring Ch													2
			х		Х	2	х	0.8		0.1		=	0.16	
			х	2	х	2	Х	0.8	х	0.1		=	0.32	m³
	For Reservoi			-										2
		1			Х	3	х	0.3		0.1		=	0.168	
			х	2	х	2	Х	0.3	х	0.1		=	0.09	m³
	For Pipe Ped					_								2
		15	х	0.4	х	0	Х	0.1				=	<u>0.24</u>	m³
											Total	=	0.978	m³
	0	2050	/3										2774 402	
	@	3859	/m°									=	3774.102	
4/2.2	Providing and	d laving c	emen	t con	crete	- in i	proportion 1:3	3:6 etc						
.,	For Spring Ch		erren		0.000									
			х	1	х	2	х	0.6	x	0.9		=	1.08	m³
			x		x	2	x	0.6		0.75		=	1.8	
		-	~	-	~	-	~	0.0	~	5.75		=	1.0	
		1	х	1	х	2	x 0.26	+0.55	х	1.35		=	1.09	m³
		_		-		_	2					-		-
							_							

	1	х	2	х	1	х	<u>0.25+0</u>). <u>26</u>	х	0.45	=	0.23	m³
	1	х	2	х	2	x	2 <u>0.25+0</u>) <u>.55</u>	х	1.8	=	2.88	m³
For Reservoir:							2						
	1	х	2	х	3		х	0.3	х	0.3	=	0.504	m³
	1	х	2	х	2		х	0.3	х	0.3	=	0.27	m³
	1	х	1	х	3		х	1.5	х	0.2	=	0.75	m³
For Pipe Pedest	als:												
	15	х	0.3	х	0		х	0.4			=	<u>0.54</u>	m³
										Total	=	9.144	m³
											=	Rs	38487.1
@	4209	/m³											

5/2.9(a) Providing shuttering including centering for flat surfaces such as slabs ,shelves, chajja and for vertical faces such as column etc.

For Spring Chamber:

1	х	2	х	2	х	0.9		=	3.6	m²
2	х	2	х	2	х	0.75		=	6	m²
1	х	1	х	3	Х	1.83		=	5.49	m²
1	х	1	х	3	х	1.8		=	5.4	m²
1	х	2	х	<u>0.25+0</u>	.26	х	0.45	=	0.23	m²
				2						
2	x	2	х	2 2	х	0.75		=	6	m²
2 2		_	x x	_		0.75 0.75		=	6 1.8	
	х	2		2	x			= = =		m²
2	x x	2	x x	2 0.6	x x	0.75			1.8	m² m²

		2	х	1	х	<u>0.25+0</u>	.55	х	1	L.8		1.44	
	For Reservoir:					2							
		1	х	2	х	2.8	v	0.3				1.68	m ²
			x		x	0.3		0.3			=	0.18	
			x		x	1.5		0.3			=	0.18	
			x			2.5		0.5 1.8			=	9	m²
					X						=	-	
			Х		Х	1.5		1.8			=		m²
			Х		Х	2.5		1.8			=	4.5	m²
			Х		Х	2.5		0.1			=	0.5	
		T	х	2	Х	1.5	х	0.1			=	0.3	m-
	For Pipe Pedestals:	4 5				0.0		0.4				7.0	
			х		х	0.3		0.4			=	7.2	
		15	х	4	х	0.15	х	0.15			=	<u>1.35</u>	m²
		_ ,	2								=	75.49	m²
		7 /m									=	Rs	16381.33
6/2.3	Providing and laying For Reservoir:	ceme	nt co	ncrete	e in I	proporti	on 1	:2:4 etc.					
		1	х	2	х	2.5	х	0.15	х	1.8	=	1.35	m³
		1	х	2	х	1.5	х	0.15	х	1.8	=	0.81	m³
		1	х	1	х	2.5	x	1.5	х	0.1	=	0.375	m³
	For Pipe Pedestals:												
	·	15	х	0	х	0.15	х	1.2			=	0.405	m³
											=	2.94	m³
	@ 473	8 /m	3							=	Rs	13929.72	
7/6.2(a)	Providing to steel re	-		it in R.	C.C	works ir	nclud	ing cutti	ng,	bending, cran	king, and tying		
								-					

in position etc..


8mmф For Rese	Tor Steel: ervoir:										
		2	х	18	х	2.3	=	82.8	Rm		
		2	х	11	х	2.3	=	50.6	Rm		
For Pipe	e Pedestals:										
		15	х	4	х	1.55	=	<u>93</u>	Rm		
							=	226.4	Rm		
@	0.39	kg/	Rm				=	Rs	88.3	Kgs.	
8mmф	Tor Steel										
For Res											
		2	х	14	х	1.4	=	39.2	Rm		
		2	х		х	2.4	=	43.2	Rm		
		2	х	10		2.4	=	48	Rm		
		2	х		х	1.4	=	<u>28</u>	Rm		
								158.4	Rm		
@	0.39	kg/	Rm				=	Rs	61.8		
C											
6ттф	Tor Steel										
	For Pipe Ped			0		0.5			Due		
		15	х	9	х	0.5	=	67.5	Rm		
@	0.22	kg/	Rm				=	Rs	14.9		
								Total	=	164.922	
										1.64	Quintal
@	5982.36	/at	ı						Rs	9811.0704	
LU	5502.50	/4	1					=	1/2	3011.0704	

Providing and fixing G.I pipes including necessary sockets, bends, jamnuts , elbows , tees complete. (Rates as per market rates)

(a)75mm G.I Pipes Length-1.50 Rm @ Rs 500/Rm				
		=	Rs	750
(b) 50mm G.I pipes				
Length 39.5 Rm @ Rs 350/-Rm		=	Rs	13,825
			_	
	GRAND TOTAL	=	Rs	1,00,004
			Rs	1,00,000
(D				

(Rupees One lakh only)

PLAN AND SECTION OF SPRING CHAMBER WITH WATER RESERVOIR




ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF WATER HARVESTING STRUCTURE RATES ARE AS PER P.W.D SCHEDULED OF RATES FOR ROADS BRIDGES AND E & D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-08 FOR TURA AND WILLIAMNAGAR CIRCLE

1/3.	Cleani	ng and remov	al of rubbi	sh upto a	a distance o	of 30	m outside the periphery of the area
	Area	=	0.0813	На			
	@	3600 /Ha			=	Rs	292.68

2/3(a) Eatrhwork in excavation to the proper grade including light, dressing providing cambering and super elevation as directed and removal of spoils upto 30m lead and all lift

	1no	<u>(25 x 25)X (2</u>	0.14 x 20.14	x 2	=		1030.619	/m³
)	2					
@	72	/m³		=		Rs	74204.57	/m³
				Total Say	=			74497.248 75,000/-
			(Rupees Se	venty five	thousa	and) O	niy	

PLAN AND SECTION FOR WATER HARVESTING STRUCTURE



PREPARED BY PROJECT FORMULATION CELL SHILLONG DIMENSION IN METRE SCALE ' NOT TO SCALE

ESTIMATE FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF CEMENT CONCRETE DIVERSION CHANNEL AS PER SCHEDULED OF RATES FOR ROADS , BRIDGES E & D WORKS FOR THE YEAR 2007-08 IN TURA AND WILLIAMNAGAR CIRCLE

1/134. Excavation for structures (earthwork in excavation of foundation of structures as per drawing and technical specifications, including setting out etc.

$$1 \times 48.02 \times 1.50 \times 1.00 \times 1.2 = 72.03 \text{ m}^3$$
Rs. 34 /m³
Rs. 2,449.02

2/103. Providing and laying of dry rubble flooring completed as per drawing etc.

 $1 \times 48.02 \times 1 = 48.02 \text{ m}^3$

852 /m3

40,913.04

Rs.

3/141 Plain /reinforced cement concrete in open foundation as per drawing and technical specifications


Rs.

Cement procured

		x x	48.02 48.02		1 1.22		0.1 0.1	=	=	4.802 <u>11.72</u> 16.52	m³	_		
					Rs.	3630	/m³					Rs.	59,963	3.53
4/2.9(a)	Pla ete		ing with	cement	mortar	(1:3) or	ı brick v	work in sup	er stru	icture				
	2	x	48.02	x	1.2	2		=		117.2				
						Rs.	74	/m3			Tatal		Rs.	8,670.49
											Total	=	Rs.	1,11,996.09
										:	Say		Rs.	1,12,500.00

(Rupees one lakh twelve thousand five hundred thousand) only.

PLAN AND SECTION OF CEMENT CONCRETE DIVERSION CHANNEL



PREPARED BY PROJECT FORMULATION CELL SHILLONG SCALE : NOT TO SCALE ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES **ANNEXURE IV**

MoA, SUB COMMITTEE DETAILS ETC.

FORMATION OF WATERSHED DEVELOPMENT TEAM (WDT)

The P.I.A (Project Implementing Agency) Baghmara Soil & Water Conservation (C.C) Division, South Garo Hills has constituted 3(three) W.D.T Members (Watershed Development Team) for the smooth functioning of the project on 20/12/2010 as per the Common Guidelines for Watershed Development Projects.

Names of Districts	Names of projects	Names of WDT members	M/F#	Age	Qualification / Experience	Description of professional training
South Garo Hills	SGH-IWMP- IV	Shri. Kai Raksal M Sangma	Male	28 yrs	Bachelor of Computer Application	Bachelor of Computer Application(B.C.A)
		Miss Ponobi R Marak	Female	25 yrs	Bachelor of Science in Forestry	Bachelor of Science in Forestry
		Shri. Bilcheng K. Marak	Male	23 yrs	Diploma in Civil Engineering	Civil Engineering

FORMATION OF WATERSHED COMMITTEE AND THE REGISTRATION UNDER SOCIETY REGISTRATION ACT.

The Dora Micro Watershed under Integrated Watershed Management Programme (IWMP-IV) has constituted Watershed Committee (W.C) for smooth implementation of the Watershed Project with the Technical support of the Watershed Development Team (WDT) in the Village. The Villagers of the Doragre Village unanimously selected the Chairman and the Members of the Watershed Committee in the meeting from the village itself and the Secretary from the Department of Soil & Water Conservation, Shri Harlow D Sangma (Range Officer) Baghmara Soil & Water Conservation (CC) Division. The Watershed Committee of Dora Micro Watershed comprises of 10 members.

Name of the District	Name of project	Names of Watershed Committee	Date of Registration as a Society (dd/mm/ yyyy)	Designation	M/F	ST	Educational qualification
South Garo Hills	SGH-	1. Shri. Goering Ch. Momin		Chairman	М	ST	Class VIII
	IWMP-IV	2. Shri. Harlow D. Sangma		Secretary	М	ST	P.U Passed
		3. Shri. Kai Raksal Sangma		Member	М	ST	B.C.A
		4. Shri. Karmel M. Marak		Member	М	ST	Class VII
		5. Shri. Dedepson M. Marak		Member	М	ST	Class V
		6. Shri. Titush R Marak		Member	М	ST	ClassVII
		7. Shri. Diring Ch. Sangma		Member	М	ST	Class X
		8. Smt. Minon Ch. Sangma		Member	М	ST	Class VIII
		9. Smt. Marphiu R. Marak		Member	М	ST	Class IV
		10. Smt. Gita S. Marak		Member	F	ST	Class XII

AGREEMENT FOR CONVERGENCE OF SCHEME

The Village Employment Council (VEC) and the Communities of Doragre Village, Gasuapara Block, South Garo Hills, Meghalaya have no objection to the Convergence of NREGS with Integrated Wastershed Management Project (IWMP) at Doragre village under Dora Micro-Watershed, SGH-IWMP-IV being implemented by Baghmara Soil & Water Conservation (C.C) Division, South Garo Hills.

We have also agreed and committed to allocate the Funds for wages as well as material components under NREGS in our Annual Work Plan for various Soil & Water Conservation Works which shall be taken up during the Project Period (2010-11 to 2015-15). The wage and material component under NREGS shall be utilized for following works:

- 1. Spring Chamber.
- 2. Link Road.
- 3. Rubber Plantation.
- 4. Protection Wall.

President Village Employment Council Doragre Gasuapara Block, SGH. Det Den Sayma Chaiman, PEIC. Doragre VEC.

Gasuapara C & RD. Block South Garo Hills.

6

Secretary Village Employment Council Doragre Gasuapara Block, SGH. Thomas Momun

> Secretary, PEIC. Doragre VEC. Gasuanera C & RD. Block

> > G

L. N. Marak Divisional Officer aghmara, Soil Cons. (C.C.) Division Baghmara, South Garo hills. Proceedings of the General meeting of the people of Roongre Field on The Nov/2010 at the Gout. L.P. school promises, Borngre at 15.00.

The members present is enclosed herearth -

The meeting is held in connection with the constitution of Dorn Micro Kadenshid Association and Dorn Micro Katershid committee and the same meeting is constructed under the chairmanship of stori Rekson Song min the mokaner and the following readfutions have been adopted with the formation of the committee as follows -

SELECTION OF COMMITTEE MEMBERS -

	01	1000-Watershed Besociation - Deckson Ch. Sangrown, Resident (N.
102		Thomas W. Dever
(4)	1.	Brontof S. Million,
(5)	1,	gonesidoo R. Marsh, "
(6)	"	demen D. Sangman, "
(F)		Minygjin D. Marsok, "
1.0	Soort.	
(9)	"	Asina Ch. Sangora, "
(10)	"	Rossvella M. Marsak, "
	"	Lingjak U. Monvis,

2. Dosa Macro Watershed Comminder-

(1) Shor Goesing (4. Morris, Rossident, Charriman.

(D 11 Karamiel M. Marsak, Members,

- (3) " Dedepson M. Marrik, " (4) " Tifush R. Marrik, "
- (5) " Diving W. Sangona,
- (6) Sont. Minon the Sangma,
- (7) " Marsphie R. Marsak, ., (8) 11 Gita S. Marsak, .,

REBOLUTION-1.

Resoluted that the newsty constituted committee arounders of both the Association and committee of Aran Micro-Katashed Desociation and Aran Micro-Katurghed committee are pleased to elect shi Reckson the Songonn and Shi Likukhard M. Monk as the President and the Secretary respectively and No Shi Courting the Monim as the President of Down Micro-Katershed committee for further proceedings of both the convortille onembers

RESOLUTION-2.

The conversible mumbers, in a joint muching, one, plensed to discuss and proposed the projects to take up throng for construction of Natur consumation Dama across chightchak stream for supplying of Natur towards Dange Through sibe lines with a provision of a Natur Tank at the village men.

RESOLUTION-3

V

The committee members also pleased to discuss and

contd.

. and proposed to take up The project for community Plandation of Rubbis of associated 40 dictaries in area at Disagre addition for the biometicianies of village Dosagre. The Presidents, Secretarries, are, therefore asked to prepare and submit the "about projects to the \$500, ile Watershed Management, Baghomarn, South Baro Hills, for his her hand necessary action and orward transmission The meeting adjourned with a vote of thunks from the Wair-person. MI Chrisman, v cr so yim Nokins 1-7 (47) Domare & king South Hills 8

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN Noc This is to certify that the implementations of This is to correctly that the implementations of Nartous projects sanctioned under Dow Micro-Watership Committee 1 Dissociation may be allowed for imple menting the projects at Doragoe areas aking during the fire years' Plan and These will be no objection that may be arise from time to time. We wish success in life Shomes Cl. Momin Socretary, PEIC. Doragre VEC. Gasuapara C & RD. Block South Garo Hills. 119

Members present . 1 Shithlhand Marak Ime. . Ohomes Ch. Monin De Slai; Déring et haran Herit 5 A March Marra 4 H ub 1. Kahnel Kone 8. " Mingjing Marak Jonenano Matak, Romly, Maekel 10, 1) Marak Sours 11 111 Sargure Welloy day samme. 121 " 13, 1, Govering Ch. Manuer 14. 11 Bilek Harrak 15: Smil: Salina Songine. Johnom Gig 16. " Arena Homen Jomom Mariak Marat 18 +1 Marchio Ank Romello R Maseak 19 1) Dekton Saigma Doma 20 " Bimondom. S. Morak 2) Cloment Singur 22. 11 23. 17 Kalong Dugit 24" Razang Moniti 25, Shri, Dedeplor Marok 26 Delit Son Marak Donnet

27 Shri ellinging ellarek 28 Smt. bilta S. Marak 29 Shri Gongeng Bargma 30 Shri Ginder Marak 31 Shri Ginder Marak 32. Nices Sargma 33. Shri Sittush Morak Signature Amk. 34. Sheii: Sepilson Chi Marak. 35. Smt: Menon Chi Homin. 36. n: Dengjok Chi Homin. 37. . Dudruch & . Hk 38. Shar mille Sangma. 2

114